5.c. Skip row planting

3. Application of Buffers

10. Others

Implementation of soil moisture sensors

9. Management of Phreatophytes/Invasive vegetation

Changes in rangeland management

LOW +

LOW

LOW

LOW

LOW +

LA

LA

LA

LA

LA

MATRIX ON QUANTIFICATION OF CONSERVATION IMPACTS TO STREAMFLOW PAGE 1 of 2 Final Draft **AVAILABILITY OF INFORMATION** 23 December 2013 Version **Assumed** Is Local Impact Conservation Measure/Practice Impact on Magnitude ET. Overland Runoff. Implementation Quantified on **Overland NET Effect** on ET of Impact & Recharge **Spatial Timing Annual Basis** Runoff Recharge Not Available (NA), Limited Availability (LA), Readily Available (RA) INCREASE, DECREASE, NO CHANGE (NC), NOT APPLICABLE (NA) Structural (LOW, MED, HIGH) Y or N 1. Conservation terraces LOW + RA LA LA Υ DECREASE **INCREASE INCREASE** 2. Non-jurisdictional/Non-permitted Small Dams LOW + RA LA LA DECREASE **INCREASE INCREASE** Υ RA INCREASE LOW + RA RA **INCREASE** 3. Jurisdictional/Permitted Dams Υ DECREASE 4. Canal rehabilitation LOW -LA LA LA NA DECREASE DECREASE LOW -LA LA NA DECREASE DECREASE 5. Conversion from open laterals and canals to pipelines LA Υ RA LA LA DECREASE 6. Irrigation runoff recovery systems or return-flow facilities LOW **INCREASE** NC 7. Others **Non-Structural** Changes in tillage practices **INCREASE** 1.a. Dryland MED to HIGH -RA LA RA Υ DECREASE **INCREASE** 1.b. Irrigated LOW + RA LA RA Υ DECREASE INCREASE DECREASE Changes in irrigation management LOW LA LA DECREASE DECREASE DECREASE 2.a. Irrigation scheduling LA Υ 2.b. Deficit irrigation LOW *see Tab 2 LA LA LA NC DECREASE DECREASE Υ 2.c. Conversion of irrigated land to dryland cropland LOW NA NA NA Υ DECREASE **DECREASE** DECREASE DECREASE DECREASE DECREASE 2.d. Conversion of irrigated land to rangeland LOW NA NA NA . Improvements in irrigation efficiency LOW DECREASE TO NC DECREASE 3.a. Surge irrigation with furrow irrigation LA LA LA NC LOW 3.b. Variable Rate Irrigation with center pivots LA LA LA Υ **DECREASE** DECREASE NC TO DECREASE LOW LA LA LA NC DECREASE 3.c. Conventional gated pipe with furrow irrigation NC DECREASE DECREASE NC TO DECREASE 3.d. Conventional center pivots LOW - *see Tab 2 RA RA RA Υ DECREASE LOW LA LA LA Υ **DECREASE** 3.e. Sub-surface drip irrigation **DECREASE** Changes in crop rotation pattern/mixes 4.a. Irrigated Crops: lower consumption crops in rotation vith corn MED+ RA RA RA NC NC **DECREASE** 4.b. Dryland crops 4.b.i. Conversion of wheat-fallow rotation to eco-fallow syst LOW TO MED -DECREASE DECREASE INCREASE LA LA LA 4.b.ii. Conversion of cropland to rangeland LOW -LA LA LA Υ DECREASE **DECREASE** INCREASE 4.c. CRP/CREP conversion 4.c.i. Dryland Cropland to CRP/CREP MED -RA **RA-subject to FOIA RA-subject to FOIA DECREASE DECREASE INCREASE** Υ 4.c.ii. Irrigated Cropland to CRP/CREP LOW TO MED + RA RA-subject to FOIA RA-subject to FOIA DECREASE DECREASE DECREASE Changes in crop production intensity LOW -LA LA LA Υ NC NC NC 5.a. Higher plant populations LOW -5.b. Narrower row spacing LA LA LA Υ NC NC NC

LA

LA

LA

LA

RA

LA

LA

LA

LA

RA

Υ

Υ

Υ

Υ

NC

DECREASE

HEAVY TO LIGHT =

DECREASE

DECREASE

NC

NC

DECREASE

HEAVY TO LIGHT =

DECREASE

VARIES

INCREASE

NC

DECREASE

HEAVY TO LIGHT =

INCREASE

VARIES

DECREASE

	ssumed Basin-Wide Magnitud		Rationale (Assumes FA conditions reached in 1984. Impact
Structural	Of Impact (Low, Med, High)	Characteristics of Sub-basins with Significant Impacts	magnitudes are basin-wide and relative to those from other conservation measures in the basin.)
1. Conservation terraces	Low+		The base condition for this practice is unterraced dryland fields. Most terraces were in place before the basin became Fully Appropriated. Surface effects of ET increase and direct runoff reduction occur over a short period, so the effect of this practice on direct overland runoff is included in historical values. Seepage from the terrace channels requires long periods to reach the water table if the vadose zone is thick. About 15% of the land in the Republican River Basin (actually about 10% when considering land above the lower terrace) has been treated with conservation terraces. We expect that the percentage in the Overappropriated study area is less than the Republican Basin. Thus, some small increases in streamflow could result relative to the impacts to the stream from the terraces at the time the basin became Fully Appropriated.
2. Non-jurisdictional/Non-permitted Small Dams	Low+		The base condition for this practice would be land without dams. Most permitted dams were in place before the basin became Fully Appropriated. Surface effects of increased ET and storage occur over a short period so the effect is included in the recorded stream flow data. Seepage from dams requires extended periods to reach the water table due to transport through the vadose zone; however, dams are located in stream valleys that would be closer to groundwater than upland areas such as terrace lands. Thus, some small increases in streamflow could have resulted since the basin became Fully Appropriated.
3. Jurisdictional/Permitted Dams	Low+		The base condition for this practice would be land without dams. Most permitted dams were in place before the basin became Fully Appropriated. Surface effects of increased ET and storage occur over a short period so the effect is included in the recorded stream flow data. Seepage from dams requires extended periods to reach the water table due to transport through the vadose zone; however, dams are located in stream valleys that would be closer groundwater than upland areas such as terrace lands. Thus, some small increases in streamflow could have resulted since the basin became Fully Appropriated.
4. Canal rehabilitation	Low -		The base condition for this practice is unlined canals. The impact is considered low because of the low amount of change since the basin became Fully Appropriated. The primary impact is reduced seepage and spills with a small reduction of evaporation from the canal. The ultimate outcome for of lining and piping is probably delivery of more water to irrigated lands than before, which could result in a higher consumptive use proportion. The impact is negative because the "water savings" is thought to be utilized by crop ET.
5. Conversion from open laterals and canals to pipelines	Low -		The base condition for this practice is surface water delivery though an earthen canal. The primary impact is reduced seepage and spills with a small reduction of evaporation from the canal. Evapotranspiration from waterlogged areas due to seepage/spills is consumptive. Seepage from the canal that percolates beyond root zones of nontarget plants will recharge the groundwater. The ultimate outcome for of lining and piping is probably delivery of more water to irrigated lands than before, which could result in a higher consumptive use proportion. Therefore, we believe that the impact has negatively affected streamflow to a slight degree since the basin became Fully Appropriated.
6. Irrigation runoff recovery systems or return-flow facilities	Low		The base condition for this practice is surface irrigation, mainly furrow using gated pipe, without runoff recovery. The impact of runoff recovery is to reduce the amount of irrigation runoff that leaves the field. The impact on stream flow is low because few systems have been put in place since the basin became Fully Appropriated.
7. Others			
Non-Structural 1. Changes in tillage practices			
1.a. Dryland	MED To HIGH -		The base condition for this practice is a disked tillage system in the east and a stubble mulch system in the west. Conversion to conservation tillage generally produces more infiltration and less evaporation from the soil surface if adequate residue is present. Infiltrated water often results in increased crop yield and therefore more evapotranspiration (ET) for dryland areas. The reduction of runoff from the field and increased ET from dryland areas could noticeably reduce streamflow. Conversion to reduced tillage has occurred since the late 1970s and we continue to see conversions, so a large portion of the impact likely would have occurred after the basin became Fully Appropriated. There is also a strong east-west impact as reductions in ET depend on the frequency of rainfall for dryland fields. When the interval between wetting events is long the initial ET rate is suppressed, but if the period is long enough, about the same amount of water may evaporate from the soil. Dryland cropping is widespread across the basin so we believe that the practice will have had a noticeable negative impact on streamflow.
1.b. Irrigated	Low +		Our base condition for irrigated cropland is a disked tillage system. Conservation tillage does not increase crop ET for irrigated land unless the field is deficit irrigated. The primary impact on irrigated fields would be to reduce evaporation and thus reduce ET. The impact on irrigated lands is different than for dryland because the wetting frequency is higher than for dryland crops, there is more crop residue for some irrigated crops than for dryland, and transpiration rates are not influenced by the additional residue. Therefore, we expect less of an impact than for dryland but a positive increase in streamflow due to reduced evaporation and thus reduced ET.
2. Changes in irrigation management			The base condition for this practice non-scientific irrigation scheduling. The impact is
2.a. Scientific Irrigation scheduling	Low	Theirene	The base condition for this practice non-scientific frigation scheduling. The impact is considered low because we believe that the increase in this practice has been minimal since the basin became Fully Appropriated. The practice should have a positive impact on streamflow because of fewer irrigation water applications thus less wetting of the plant leaves and soil. Evaporation should be reduced. But with an unknown change in adoption since the fully appropriated condition, we rated this as low.
2.b. Deficit irrigation	Low+	The impact can be medium to high + in sub-basins that have implemented water allocations that restrict water withdrawals to levels that would result in either deficit irrigation or a change in crop selection.	The base condition would be the fully irrigated condition, that is, irrigation application to the level that there is no plant water stress. When plant water stress occurs, transpiration is reduced. On a basin scale the impact is considered low because the level of adoption since the basin became Fully Appropriated will be relatively small but where adopted the impact would be medium to high +.

Low		The base condition is irrigated cropland. This practice would reduce ET significantly but the impact is considered low since the conversion to dryland has been minimal since the basin became fully appropriated.
Low		The base condition is irrigated cropland. This practice would reduce ET significantly but the impact is considered low since the conversion of irrigated cropland to rangeland would be minimal if any occurred at all since the basin became fully appropriated.
		There is widespread misunderstanding about the impact of irrigation efficiency on water balances. The deciding factor is to determine the pathway for the water affected by conversion to more efficient irrigation methods.
Low-		Our base condition here is the conversion from traditional furrow irrigation using gated pipe. Utilization of surge flow usually provides more rapid advance of water across the field for water applied. This usually reduces deep percolation at the upper and of the field and reduces crop water stress if water did not usually reach the lower end of the field in a timely manner. The reduction of deep percolation is probably more significant than increased crop water use in most applications. We feel that the impact is low because there is little land area that utilizes surge flow irrigation. In addition, if the primary effect is changing deep percolation, then the water that percolates is not consumptive and eventually affects recharge.
Low		The base case for Variable Rate Irrigation (VRI) is a traditional center pivot irrigation system. VRI allows for the application of varying depths across the field in a targeted manner. There could be various goals in using VRI. One approach could be to reduce pumping on areas of the field that hold more water than lighter textured soils. Application depths could also be curtailed on nonproductive areas of the field. When combined with areas that are deficitly irrigated under water allocation programs the amount of ET could be increased if water that was not needed in part of the field resulted in deep percolation at that location and is instead is applied on areas that usually receive less water and experience more stress. In the latter case, VRI could increase ET. VRI is new so any impacts are the result of recent developments and certainly occurred after the basin became Fully Appropriated. VRI will most certainly reduce leaching of agricultural chemicals, which will positively impact groundwater quality.
Low		The base case for this practice is furrow-irrigated land using siphon tubes. Conversion to gated pipe has generally occurred some time ago so the changes since the basin became Fully Appropriated are primarily small. The primary impact of using gated pipe rather than siphon tubes would be the difference in seepage from on-farm ditches and perhaps some spills. The difference in seepage depends on the type of ditch used for supply siphon tubes. Concrete-lined ditches would have little seepage. Earth lined ditches would have more seepage. However, leaky gates for gated pipe can also contribute to seepage at the head of the field. In some case, leaks from gates can be as bad as seepage from an earthen ditch. Evaporation from the open water surface of an open ditch is generally small. Finally, with groundwater supplies the percolation from the ditch or gated pipe is primarily seepage, which returns eventually to the aquifer.
Low -	There could be subbasin exceptions where irrigation water distribution before conversion was so nonuniform that it caused lower ET and subsequent yield reductions. In these cases, the impacts to streamflow could be greater than the overall basin estimate.	The base case for this practice is fields furrow irrigated with gated pipe. There has been a continual conversion from gated pipe to center pivots all across the basin. Key issues for this practice are the amount of land irrigated with the pivot compared to the furrow irrigated field, and changes in the adequacy of irrigation on the areas of the field that may have been under irrigated with furrow irrigation. Runoff from center pivots should be less than for furrow irrigation. The key is how the runoff is managed. If the water is recycled to the field through reuse systems then the main loss of water is seepage in the reuse system and increased evaporation/evapotranspiration from open water surface and weeds along conveyance channels. With center pivots some of the water evaporates in the air and evaporation from the canopy is generally more than the transpiration would have been. Combined evaporation losses from evaporation in the air, drift losses and canopy evaporation increases is generally less than ten percent. In our view there is a small negative impact on streamflow on a basin-wide level since the basin became Fully Appropriated.
Low		The base case for this practice is furrow-irrigated land using gated pipe. The conversion to SDI has certainly occurred since the basin became Fully Appropriated. Issues with SDI are similar to that for conventional center pivots. The amount of land irrigated is probably about the same as for furrowed irrigated land. Evapotranspiration from SDI can be somewhat less than for furrow irrigation, as the soil surface remains dry. Losses from SDI are primarily due to deep percolation if the field is not properly scheduled. Those losses would recharge groundwater aquifers eventually. Evapotranspiration could increase if the furrow system did not provide adequate supplies. SDI would dramatically reduce runoff of irrigation water and perhaps rainfall as well. If crop yields increase due to improved irrigation distribution, then ET likely increased. The areal extent of SDI is still quite small so we have rated its impact as low.
Med +	The impact can be medium to high + in sub-basins that have implemented water allocations that restrict water withdrawals to levels that would result in either deficit irrigation or a change in crop selection.	The base condition would be irrigated corn with full-season hybrid selection that matches the geographic area. The impact of changes in crops with lower ET is often the result of the shorter growing season for alternative crops. Thus, shorter season corn hybrids could also be considered in this option. Changes from corn to soybean in much of the basin could have been significant since the Fully Appropriated condition.
Low To Med -		The base condition for this practice would be wheat-fallow rotation with mulch tillage. The negative impact of this change is due to increased crop ET which is a result of producing two crops in a three year period versus one crop in two years. Overall magnitude depends on level of change since the Fully Appropriated Condition.
	Low - Low - Med +	Low Low There could be subbasin exceptions where irrigation water distribution before conversion was so nonuniform that it caused lower ET and subsequent yield reductions. In these cases, the impacts os treamflow could be greater than the overall basin estimate. Low The impact can be medium to high react than the overall basin estimate.

4.b.ii. Conversion of cropland to rangeland	Low -	The base condition for this practice would be dryland cropland, either wheat-fallow or eco-fallow, with mulch tillage. The negative impact of this change is due to increased rangeland ET associated with the longer growing periods of rangeland and possibly due to the deeper root zone that is expected for the perennial vegetation. The deeper root zone results in a larger soil moisture reservoir for storing water for subsequent ET. Overall magnitude depends on level of change since the Fully Appropriated Condition but we assume that it is minimal if at all.
4.c. CRP/CREP conversion		
4.c.i. Dryland Cropland to CRP/CREP	Med -	The base condition for this practice would be dryland cropland, either wheat-fallow or eco-fallow, with mulch tillage. The negative impact of this change is due to increased ET on the CRP/CREP land associated with the longer growing periods of CRP/CREP land and possibly due to the deeper root zone that is expected for the perennial vegetation. The deeper root zone results in a larger soil moisture reservoir for storing water for subsequent ET. Overall magnitude depends on level of change since the Fully Appropriated Condition and we assume that the adoption has been significant.
4.c.ii. Irrigated Cropland to CRP/CREP	Low To Med +	The base condition for this practice would be irrigated cropland, mainly corn. The positive impact of this change is due to reduced ET during periods of moisture stress on the CRP/CREP land. Overall magnitude depends on level of change since the Fully Appropriated Condition and we assume that the adoption has been significant.
5. Changes in crop production intensity		
5.a. Higher plant populations	Low -	The base condition for this practice is a normal planting density of about 30,000 complants per acre for irrigated land. The primary effect of increasing the density is that the canopy closes earlier in the season. For most irrigated crops the leaf area index for previous populations were well above the amount of leaf area that would produce full ET. Higher populations allow for more ET somewhat earlier in the season and the canopy may senesce more slowly but not materially. We expect that this impact will be a small increase in ET but not materially. Impacts on dryland will be minimal as precipitation generally dictates ET.
5.b. Narrower row spacing	Low -	This practice compares to a traditional row width of about 30 inches. The impact on planting narrower crop rows allows the canopy to close more quickly and perhaps last a little longer at the end of the growing season. Narrower rows do not increase the leaf area index materially. The net effect will be a small increase of ET early and late in the season, which would deplete streamflow slightly. Impacts on dryland will be minimal as precipitation generally dictates ET.
5.c. Skip row planting	Low+	The base condition for this practice is planting rows at equal spacing for all rows. Skip-row involves not planting one row out of a set; i.e. skipping a row. One scheme skips one row and plants one row (every-other row skipped), a second scheme involves planting two rows and skipping one row with a three row basic unit. Skipping a row allows for storage of precipitation over the wider width which requires more time for the roots of the crop to reach during the season. The additional storage provides water to allow crops to complete crop development and increase grain development. In the most arid areas, the impacts will probably be small as precipitation is the limiting factor and this practice is only altering the time during the season when the water is used for ET. In wetter years, and in the more humid areas, there is a chance that some of the stored water in the skipped row will not be needed for the season. If the skipped row was planted ET would have been higher. The effect is that ET would be decreased in wetter years when the row is skipped. This practice has only been adopted since the basin became Fully Appropriated and is not widely implemented - thus we believe this impact will be small.
6. Implementation of soil moisture sensors	Low	The base condition for this practice would be irrigated cropland without soil moisture sensors. Assuming that the sensors are used for scientific irrigation scheduling we're assuming that the impact is low because we believe that the increase in this practice has been minimal since the basin became Fully Appropriated. The practice should have a positive impact on streamflow because of fewer irrigation water applications thus less wetting of the plant leaves and soil. Evaporation should be reduced.
7. Changes in rangeland management	Low	The primary management practice change for rangeland is the management of grazing duration and intensity. Higher levels of range management generally provide periods on intense grazing and then regrowth periods. The base practice would be where animals are free to graze the whole pasture. Enhanced management can have two effects: (1) taller grass in some portions of the field after intense grazing and (2) maintenance of different grass mixtures, as periodic grazing does not allow time for the animals to graze out the desirable grasses with regrowth of less desirable species. Enhanced management has gained popularity since the time at which the basin became fully Appropriated and has become significantly widespread. We believe that enhanced management would lead to slight increases in ET due to more regrowth but that the impact would be small. If ranchers planted a different grass species, the impact could be different.
8. Application of Buffers	Low	The base condition for this practice would be cropland, either irrigated or dryland. The impact of this change would be due to a change in ET. If changing from irrigated land to buffers, the impact would be positive since ET would likely go down. The opposite would occur with dryland cropland. Since the Fully Appropriated Condition, we assume that the adoption has been low and thus the impact is low.
9. Management of Phreatophytes/Invasive vegetation 10. Others	Low+	The base condition for this practice would be a riparian zone with native species that existed up to thirty years ago. Invasive species include salt cedar phragmites, Russian olive and red cedar trees. Research has shown that removing the invasive species next to a stream results in the majority of the impact ocurring in the first few years after clearing. Once invasive species are removed, a mixture of understory species quickly fill the area where the invasive species were located. The species that we have observed are the native climax vegetation and thus the potential reduction of ET from clearing invasive species is smaller than some reports. In addition, the fraction of the watershed that is affect by riparian species removal is small for the whole watershed. Thus, we expect the impact to be a small positive impact when considered over a long period.
10. Oulcid		

MATRIX ON QUANTIFICATION OF CONSERVATION IMPACTS TO STREAMFLOW
PAGE 2 of 2

MATRIX ON QUANTIFICATION OF CONSERVATION IMPA				PAGE 2 of 2
Final Draft	Expertise Needed for Project	Type of Models Needed	Measurement Methods	
23 December 2013 Version	Agronomist/Soil Scientist Rangeland ecologist Riparian ecologist Microclimatologist Eco-hydrologist Agricultural hydrologist Irrigation engineer Vadose zone hydrologist/soil physiscist Groundwater geologist/engineer	Spatial analysis (GIS, etc.) Irrigation hydraulics (SRFR, Sirmod,CPNozzle) Surface hydrology (SWAT, WEPP, HEC-HMS, MIKE-SHE) Root zone hydrology (Cropsim) Evapotranspiration (Models that use Penman Monteith) Vadose zone hydrology (HYDRUS, RZWQM) Groundwater hydrology (MODFLOW) Integrated hydrologic model (MIKE-SHE, Farm Process/MODFLOW)	Groundwater levels Matric potential and water content in intermediate vadose zone Matric potential and water content in root zone Tracers in vadose zone Stream flow (Gauging stations) Field runoff (flumes, weirs) Evapotranspiration (BREB, Eddy Co-variance, Remote Sensing) Infiltration Seepage	Literature Review Reference See other worksheet
Structural				
1. Conservation terrace	X X X X	X	X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	L2, L3, L4, L5, L10, L18, L19, L20, L21, L22, L23, L32
2. Non-jurisdictional/Non-permitted Small Dams	X X X X	x x x	X X X X X X X	L25
3. Jurisdictional/Permitted Dams	X X X X	X X X X	X X X X X X X X X X	114
4. Canal rehabilitation		X	X X X X X X X X X X	L14 L15, L14
Conversion from open laterals and canals to pipelines Irrigation runoff recovery systems or return-flow facilities		X X	X X X X X X X X X X	L15, L14 L16, L27
7. Others				110, 127
7. Others				
Non-Structural				
1. Changes in tillage practices (I> irrigated, R> Rainfed)				
1.a. Dryland	X	X		L37, L45, L46, L52, L53, L54
1.b. Irrigated	x	x	X X X X X X X X X X X X X	L37, L45, L46, L52, L53, L54
Changes in irrigation management				
2.a. Scientific irrigation scheduling	X X X	x x x x x x x		L33, L35, L41, L75, L77, L78
2.b. Deficit irrigation	x x x	x		L76, L79, L80
2.c. Conversion of irrigated land to dryland cropland	x	x		
2.D. Conversion of irrigated land to rangeland		x	x x x x x x	
3. Improvements in irrigation efficiency				
3.a. Surge irrigation with furrow irrigation	X X X	X X		L17, L41, L42, L43, L62
3.b. Precision irrigation with variable rate center pivot technology	X X X		X X X	L63, L64, L65
3.c. Conversion to gated pipe with furrow irrigation 3.d. Conversion to conventional center pivot systems		X X X X X X X X X X		L16, L27 L16, L27
3.e. Conversion to sub-surface drip irrigation		x x x x x x x	x x x x	L16, L27 L16, L27
4. Changes in crop rotation pattern/mixes 4. Changes in crop rotation pattern/mixes				L66
4.a. Irrigated crops: more lower water consumption crops in rotation				200
with corn		x	x x x x x	
4.b. Dryland crops				
4.b.i. Conversion of wheat-fallow rotation to eco-fallow system	x	x		
4.b.ii. Conversion of cropland to rangeland	X X X	x	X X X X X X X	
4.c. CRP conversion				
4.c.i. Dryland Cropland to CRP	X	X		L45, L46, L59, L60, L61
4.c.ii. Irrigated Cropland to CRP	X	x		L45, L46, L59, L60, L61
5. Changes in crop production intensity				
5.a. Higher plant populations	X X X	X	X X X X X X	
5.b. Narrower row spacing	X X X	x	X X X X X X	
5.c. Skip row planting	X X X	X	X X X X X X X X X X X	
6. Implementation of soil moisture sensors	X X X	x	X X X X X X X X X X X	130 155 156 157 150
7. Changes in rangeland management	X	X	X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	L38, L55, L56, L57, L58
8. Application of Buffers	X X X	X	X X X X X X X X X X	L5, L28, L29, L30, L31
Management of Phreatophytes/Invasive vegetation		x x x x x x x		
10. Others				

Conservation Study Tasks 4 and 5 Data Matrix and Three Potential Methods Conservation Impacts

Quality

MATRIX ON QUANTIFICATION OF CONSERVATION IMPACTS TO STREAMFLOW

Final Draft

23 December 2013 Version

23 Determiner 2013 Version				Quality		
			Low Intensity	Medium Intensity	High Intensity	
		Multiplier for	Expert dominant	Expert + model	Expert + Model + Field	
	Multiplier for	Medium and	60%	30%		Uncertain
Structural	Low Intensity*	High Intensity*	\$50,000	\$300,000	. ,	Baseline \
L. Conservation terrace	3	4	\$150,000	\$1,200,000	\$2,400,000	
P. Non-jurisdictional/Non-permitted Small Dams	2.5	3.5	\$125,000	\$1,050,000	\$2,100,000	
B. Jurisdictional/Permitted Dams	2	3	\$100,000	\$900,000	\$1,800,000	
. Canal rehabilitation	2	4	\$100,000	\$1,200,000	\$2,400,000	
5. Conversion from open laterals and canals to pipelines	2	4	\$100,000	\$1,200,000	\$2,400,000	
6. Irrigation runoff recovery systems or return-flow facilities	2	2	\$100,000	\$600,000	\$1,200,000	
7. Others						
Non-Structural						
Changes in tillage practices (I> irrigated, R> Rainfed)						1
1.a. Dryland	3.5	4.5	\$175,000	\$1,350,000	\$2,700,000	
1.b. Irrigated	3.5	4.5	\$175,000	\$1,350,000	\$2,700,000	
Changes in irrigation management	3.3	5	V170,000	Ψ±,000,000	γ2,700,000	
2.a. Scientific irrigation scheduling	2	3	\$100,000	\$900,000	\$1,800,000	
2.b. Deficit irrigation	3	4	\$150,000	\$1,200,000	\$2,400,000	
2.c. Conversion of irrigated land to dryland cropland	5	6	\$250,000	\$1,800,000	\$3,600,000	
2.D. Conversion of irrigated land to rangeland	5	6	\$250,000	\$1,800,000	\$3,600,000	
Improvements in irrigation efficiency			720,000	7-//	+5/555/555	
3.a. Surge irrigation with furrow irrigation	1	2	\$50,000	\$600,000	\$1,200,000	
3.b. Precision irrigation with variable rate center pivot technology	3	4	\$150,000	\$1,200,000	\$2,400,000	
3.c. Conversion to gated pipe with furrow irrigation	1	2	\$50,000	\$600,000	\$1,200,000	
3.d. Conversion to conventional center pivot systems	2	3	\$100,000	\$900,000	\$1,800,000	
3.e. Conversion to sub-surface drip irrigation	2	4	\$100,000	\$1,200,000	\$2,400,000	
Changes in crop rotation pattern/mixes	_		Ψ200,000	ψ <u>1</u>)=00)000	ΨΞ/ 100/000	
4.a. Irrigated crops: more lower water consumption crops in rotation with corn	4	5	\$200,000	\$1,500,000	\$3,000,000	
4.b. Dryland crops	<u> </u>	, ,	\$200,000	V1,300,000	<i>\$3,000,000</i>	
4.b.i. Conversion of wheat-fallow rotation to eco-fallow system	4	5	\$200,000	\$1,500,000	\$3,000,000	
4.b.ii. Conversion of cropland to rangeland	4	5	\$200,000	\$1,500,000	\$3,000,000	
4.c. CRP conversion	<u> </u>	, ,	\$200,000	V1,300,000	<i>\$3,000,000</i>	
4.c.i. Dryland Cropland to CRP	4	5	\$200,000	\$1,500,000	\$3,000,000	
4.c.ii. Irrigated Cropland to CRP	4	5	\$200,000	\$1,500,000	\$3,000,000	
Changes in crop production intensity	7	,	7200,000	71,300,000	75,000,000	
5.a. Higher plant populations	2	3	\$100,000	\$900,000	\$1,800,000	
5.b. Narrower row spacing	2	3	\$100,000	\$900,000		
5.c. Skip row planting	2	3	\$100,000	\$900,000	\$1,800,000	
Implementation of soil moisture sensors	2	3	\$100,000	\$900,000	\$1,800,000	
. Implementation of soil moisture sensors . Changes in rangeland management	4	5	\$200,000			
S. Application of Buffers	4 4		\$200,000	\$1,500,000	\$3,000,000	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		5		\$1,500,000	\$3,000,000	
9. Management of Phreatophytes/Invasive vegetation 10. Others	5	6	\$250,000	\$1,800,000	\$3,600,000	

Evaluation of Multiple Practices - As a starting estimate, multiply the sum of costs of all individual practices by the following cost adjustment factors

	Cost Adjustment
No of Practices	Factor
1	1.00
2	0.66
3	0.52
4	0.44
5	0.38
6	0.34
7	0.31
8	0.29
9	0.27
10	0.25
>10	0.25

Here is an example of how to apply the cost adjustment factor:

Consider a project with medium intensity analysis of conservation terraces, canal rehabilitation, and augmentation. The associated single practice costs are \$1.2 M, \$1.2 M, and \$1.8 M. If the projects were completed individually, the cost total would be \$4.2 M. But if all three projects were pooled into one project, the total cost would be \$4.2 M X 0.52 = \$2.2 M. The cost adjustment factor in this case in 0.52, the factor for three practices.

Activities associated with low intensity are dominated by the use of expert opinion and the published literature with the assistance of some modeling and little if any field measurement Activities associated with medium intensity are dominated by the use of expert opinion, the literature, and a strong emphasis on modeling and a small amount of field measurement if needed Activities associated with high intensity are dominated by the blend of expert opinion, the literature, extensive use of models and a significant amount of field measurement

 $[\]ensuremath{^{*}}$ The multiplier accounts for system complexity and what is already known

^{**}Baseline values are relative values and are used in conjunction with the multipliers to determine the estimated budget

	AUTHOR/AGENCY		Link (if applicable)	SUMMARY	GEOGRAPHIC SCALE		CONSERVATION PRACTICES	REFERENCES	
MODEL	AUTHOR/AGENCY	DATE	Link (if applicable)	portion and the second and the secon	GEOGRAPHIC SCALE	TEMPORAL SCALE	Ponds and terraces. Buffers, conservation reserve	KEPERENCES Koelliker, J. K., 1994a, User's manual for POTential YieLD Model Revised: Kansas State University,	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION POTYLD utilizes values of runoff curve numbers (RCN) to predict the split between runoff and infiltration for land uses from daily amounts of rainfall and
POTYLD M1 POTential YieLD Model Revised.	Koeliker, J.K. Kansas State University	1994	http://www.kgs.ku.edu/Publications/Bul letins/239/Koelliker/index.html	In J TLD assesses the erroco or laten to we are conservation practice, on angle waternative. POTND functions on a daily time step to calculate water budget fire different land uses and estimates the water yield on a monthly or annual basis for a drainage area. Hydrologic processes considered include evaporanapiration, interceptiation, interception, montf, snow, soil water evaporation, infiltration and redistribution. Spatial calculations performed for	Watershed	Daily	programs, tiliage practices, irrigation methods and management, crop rotation, and grazing management conservation practices can be evaluated through infiltration parameters.	Manhattan, Civil Engineering Department Arabi, M., R.S. Govindaraja, M. Sophockous, and J.K. Koelliler. 2006. Use of distributed models for watershed management: Case Studies. in Watershed Models. V.P. Singh, and D. Frevert, eds. CRC Press, Taylor and Francis Group, New York, pp 303-526.	On the dissist season or from our minimer, fact, by open days, inclined as less means are as minimer for an extreme to the major and the season of the seaso
				hydrogic response units.				Taylor and Francis Group, New York, pp 503-526.	arrigation; consequently, the name was changed to Potential Yeld Nervised (POTYLDR) (Koelsker, 1994a, 1994b).
	S.L. Neitsch, J.G. Arnold, J.R. Kiniry, J.R. Williams			SWAT is used to predict the impact of land management practices on water, sediment and agricultural chemical yields. SWAT functions on a continuous daily time step to simulate the hydrologic water balance. Model inputs include climate, hydrologic response units (GIS based spatially unique areas of				S.L. Neisch, J.G. Armold, J.R. Kiniy, J.R. Williams. 2011. Soil and Water Association Tool Theoretical Documentation Version 2009. Teast Water Resources Institute Technical Report No. 406. Amold, J.G., D.N. Modrisci, P.W. Gassman, K.C. Albabapour, N.I. Wilhile, R. Srivissan, C. Santhi, R. D. Harmel, A. van Grienvere, M.W. Yun Liew, N. Kannan, M.K. Jiba. 2012. SWAT: Model Use, Calibration, and Validation. Transactions of the ASSIGN US. 55(4): 4801-5503.	
M2 Soil and Water Assessment Tool	Grassland, Soil and Water Research Laboratory - Agricultural Research Service Blackland Research Center - Texas	2009	http://swat.tamu.edu/documentation/	land cover, soil type and management practices), ponds, groundwater, and channel data. Water bulance equations calculate the change in daily soil water content from precipitation, surface runoff, evapotranspiration, seepage into the vadose zone, and ground water returniflow and recharge. Additional hydrologic considerations include canopy storage, infiltration, redistribution. Material suburface flow, surface runoff, count occess, and ribebatery.	Watershed	Daily	Ponds, terraces, buffers, conservation reserve programs, tillage practices, irrigation methods and management, crop rotation, and grazing management.	and Valuation. Transactions of the ASARE. Vol. 53(4): 1491-1508. Gassman, P. W., J. R. Williams, X. Wang, A. Saleh, E. Osei, L. M. Hauck, R. C. Itzaurralde, J. D. Flowers. 2010. The Agricultural Policy Environmental Extender (APEX) Model: An Emerging Tool for Landscape and Watershell Environmental Analyses. Transactions of the ASARE. 53(3): 711-740.	This Soli and Whater Autorement Tool (WANT) is a public demain model jointly developed by USDA Agricultural Neurales Service (USDA-NS) and T teas AND USDA-NEY ylders. MEN AT a service and terminal ylders (MANT) and a service for the review indirect scale model to continue the quality and quantitated for the service scale model to continue the quality and quantitated for service indirect scale model to continue the quality and quantitated for service indirect scale model to continue the quality and quantitated for service previous and of control, care point our pollution contains on the quality and quantitated for service previous for distinct pollution contains on the pollution contains on the service previous for service produced contains on the pollution contains on the service previous service and the service previous for service produced contains on the service previous service and the service previous service and the service previous service and the service produced contains t
	AgriLife Research			channel routing and transmission losses. Model is available in a GIS format (ArcSWAT).				Srinivatan, R., X. Zhang, J. Arnold. 2010. SWAT Ungaugid: Hydrological Budget and Crop Yield Prediction in the Upper Mississippi River Basin. Transactions of the ASABE. Vol. 53(5): 5533-1546	
RZWOM2	I R Ahuia KW Briss I D Hanson			RZWQMZ is used to predict the hydrologic response of alternative crop-management systems. RZWQMZ functions on a daily timestep and one-dimensional soil profile. The model simulatest comp development and the movement of water, nutrients, and pesticides over and through the root zone on a field level.					The Root Zone Water Quality Model (RZWOM) was developed in the 290V by a team of USD: Agricultural Research Service (ASS) clearfold. A majority of the team manufacture are useful filther occurred after character described such as the control of the occurred after character described such as the control of the occurred after character described such as the control of the occurred after character described such as the control of the occurred after character described and another control of the occurred after character described and another control of the occurred after character described and another character described another character described and another char
M3 Root Zone Water Quality Model	L.R. Ahuja, K.W. Rojas, J.D. Hanson, M.I.Shaffer, I. Ma USDA-ARS	2000	http://www.wrplic.com/books/rzwgm.h tml	Model Impairs Include dially weather data, coll properties, and management practices. Profestiogic processes include inflictation, from through coll marter, increposes, and macropores, fluctuating water table; tild exite, bare, and mislate-cowerd coil exporation, orgo transplations, outside relativistics between rainfull and irrigation events; and snow accumulation and mark. Model it available in a GS format (IZVICM2-GS).	Field	Annual	Terraces, buffers, conservation reserve programs, tillage practices, irrigation methods and managment, crop rotation, and grazing management.	Anuju, L. R., K. W. Rojac, L. D. Hankon, M. J. Shaffer, and L. No (eds). 2000. The Root Zone Water Quality Model. Water Resources Publications LLC. Highlands Ranch, CO.	team members are part of the present approximate features flavoured body. For Collins, CO. Press of the model have been revised and of inhalment with present
								D.C. Flanagan and M.A. Nearing (ed.). 1995. USDA - Water Erosion Prediction Project (WEPP) Hillslope Profile and Watershed Model Documentation. NSERL Report No. 10. USDA-ARS National Soil Erosion	The Water Cordon Bradistian Businet BMS00 model is a concern based distributed assumence continuous circulation consists annotation model for use an
	D.C. Flanagan and M.A. Nearing (ed.)			WEPP is a continuous simulation model used in hillslope and watershed applications. WEPP functions on a daily timestep			Ponds, terraces, buffers, conservation reserve	Research Laboratory. Lane, L. J., D. L. Schertz, E. E. Alberts, J. M. Laffen, and V. L. Lopes. 1988. The US National Project to	has Water Forsion Prediction Project (WEPF) model is a process based, distributed parameter, continuous simulation, recision prediction model for use on personal computers. Processes considered in histology profile model applications included a final internal evolution classification, and deposition, inflations, out consolidation, vestical and campy effects on soil distributest and inflatisation, unclass usaling, citi hydrautics, under model, plant growth, medical accomposition, personation, evaporation, more militarious or inflatisation and inflatisation contributes of inflatisation and contributes of inflatisation contributes, citizens, talget effects on cell and contributes of the contributes of inflations and evolution, citizens are developed.
M4 Water Erosion Prediction Project	USDA-ARS National Soil Erosion Research Laboratory	1995	http://www.ars.usda.gov/Research/docs htm?docid=18073	Model inputs include climate, slope, soil and cropping management data files. Hydrologic processes include inflittration, runoff, sell evaporation, plant transparation, soil variet files discharge by substrated the control of the	Watershed or Field	Event, Monthly, or Annual	programs, tillage practices, irrigation methods and management, crop rotation, and grazing management.	Lane, L. J., O. L. Schertz, E. E. Albertz, J. M. Laffen, and V. Lopes. 1988. The Uniform Project to Occupio Improved Trains in Practices Training Vision States (Inc.). Proc. LMS; Inst. Tryimposium on Sediment Budgets, Portra Alarge, Inc.). 11-5 Dec. 1988, UNS Poll. No. 174, pp. 473–481. Lane, L. L. J. E. Bille, N. Movering, and A. O. Mesch. 1988. The USGN Vision Foods in Project. National Conf. on Hydraulic Engineering, Colorado Springs, C.O. August, 1988.	residue decomposition, persistation, executation, transpiration, trans most, from so self-efficion on inflatorion and excellibility, distant, tillage effects on soil properties, efficient of circulate requires, efficient of circulate requires, efficient of circulate requires, efficient of circulate requires, and extra circulate requires, and extra circulate requires, and in a properties, such as for experting extra circulate requires, and in a properties, and in a consistent of a such as or confidence in this final and a support of the extra circulate requires, and extra circulate requires extra circulate requires extra circulate and extra circulate circulate extra circulate ex
HEC-HMS	US Army Corps of Engineers Hydrologic		http://www.hec.usace.army.mil/softwar	HEC-HMS is an event based rainfal - runoff response model. Model leputs include materologic, infiltration, transformation, and reservoir resting data. Model results include			Ponds and terraces. Buffers, conservation reserve programs, tiliage practices, irrigation methods and	Hydrologic Engineering Center. 2000. Hydrologic Modeling System (HECHMS): Technical Reference	The Hydrologic Underlang System (FIC-MIS) is designed to simulate the prospiration-creatiff processes of dendrick watershed systems. It is designed to be applicable in a valled range of people in the value of processes. This includes large one tracks water south years of people in the people in the value of the value
MS Hydrologic Modeling System	CS Army Corps of Engineers Prototogic Engineering Center	2000	effec-trms/documentation.aspx	overland runoff volume and flow rate. Model is available in a GIS format (MEC-geofMAS).	Watershed	Event	programs, tillage practices, irrigation methods and management, crop rotation, and grazing management concervation practices can be evaluated through infiltration parameters.	Priparticogic Engineering Centiler, 2000. Hybranogic Andersong System (Int.)—Procy: sectimes inservence Manual U.S. Array Corps of Engineers: Davis, CA.	Stated of an exalisating, under an always, then be excluding facility and individual on region, the same plants, a stage, the cold analyses reduction, thoughout an advanced to construction of the property of the companion plants and an observable construction and the same plants of the same plants and an observable construction and the same plants of the same plants and an observable construction and the same plants are same plants of same plants and the same plants are same plants of same plants and the same plants are same plants of same plants and the same plants are same plants are same plants and the same plants are same plants and the same plants are same
M6 HYDRUS 2D	J. Simunek and M. Sejna PC-Progress, Prague, Czech Republic	2007	http://www.pc. arogress.com/en/Defeat asou-Phydrus. 2d.	And the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the	Field	Seconds to Days	Canal rehabilitation, conversion of canal open laterals to buried pipes, and conversion to drip engation.	Smillows J, and M. Signa. 2007. HTDNIS 20/10 Software Package for Smillstein Twee- Demonstrated Monoment of Water, Neel, and Multiple Stotes in visitably Smirzeet Media User Manual Water J. D. Fringers, Prepar C. Extr. Placedier. M. Signa, and M. Th. von Generalizes. 2023. Smillow J, D. Bregors, C. L. Garegopater. J. A. Randford M. Signa, and M. Th. von Generalizes. 2023. Smillow J, D. Bregors, C. L. Garegopater. A. Smillow Service of Science of Manual Conference on Confere	MODICS is a Microsoft Windows based modeling environment for the analysis of water flow and solute transport in variably saturated protous media. The workness peaks include competition finds returned models for an analog field have used if the distinction of convented of each "Net, and multiple below programments." The model is shown that the same peaks and the same peaks asset desired and same peaks and the
VFSMOD-W				are represented by a simple relationship derived from analog experiments.					
M7 Vegetative Filter Strips Modelling System	Rafael Munoz-Carpena, John E. Parsons University of Florida Derrel Martin	2011	http://abe.ufil.edu/carpena/vfsmod/.	VSSMOD is a numerical model used to study hydrology and cadiment transport through wagetably filter strips. VSSMOD functions on a field scale and event basis to calculate outflow and infiltration of overland runoff. Model inputs include rainfall hydrologicals or inflow hydrologishs, soil infiltration parameters and soil water content, and sortice storage. COPSMM is a manneal model used to calculate soil water shapens. CRIPS-SMR incritors on a	Field	Event	Buffers and conservation reserve programs. Buffers, conservation reserve programs, Sillage	Rafael Munoz-Carpena, John E. Parsons. 2011. VFSMOD-W Vegetative Filter Strips Modelling System Model Documentation and User's Manual Version 6.x. University of Florida.	
M8 CROPSIM	University of Nebraska			CROPSIM is a numerical model used to calculate soil water balance. CROP-SIM functions on a daily time-step at the flidid or watershed. Model inputs include climatic, soil, phenology, land cover, and management data. Water balance equations estimate ET, deep percolation, and nunoff	Watershed or Field	Daily, Monthly, or Annual	Buffers, conservation reserve programs, tiliage practices, irrigation methods and management, crop rotation, and grazing management conservation practices.		
M9 Water Optimizer	Chris Thompson, Ray Supalla, and Derrel Martin University of Nebraska	2010	http://agecon.unl.edu/wateroptimizer/d	Water Optimizer is a spreadsheet based model used to predict the profit maximizing cropping strategy and corresponding amount of applied irrigation water. Model inputs include crop type, soil type, irrigation system, well and pump characteristics, well or canal delivery, and power source.	Field	Season	trrigation management and improvements in irrigation efficiency.	Water Optimizer Decision Support Tool for Deficit Irrigation Multi-field Water Optimizer Model	Water Optimizer is a uniter of registration programs to predict the profit maximizing registry distinguishment of a pulsed dirigition water where water supplies in relimine. The Water Deliminary Soliton consists of programs that makes the control of profit in the profit maximizer of programs that control investor where water supplies are investigated control of programs that control investor that the profit of profit investor in the profit of profit investors. The single field of profit water and control of profit of profit investors in the profit in
	1		https://www.google.com/url7sa=t&rct=j	The Rangeland Hydrology and Erosion Model (RHEM) is a web based tool designed to model				Nearing M, Wei H, Stone J, Pierson F, Spaeth K, Weitz M, Flanagan D, and Hernandez M. 2011. A Rangeland Hydrology and Erosion Model. In Transactions of the Asabe. 54 (3): 901-908.	
M10 A Rangeland Hydrology and Erosion Model	M.A. Nearing, H. Wei, J.J. Stone, F.B. Pierson, K.E. Spaeth, M.A. Weltz, D.C. Flanagan, M.Herandez	2011	au_henri = narour (e-wenezid = 18/ed = 0 CCBOFIAABurl = https://dx.25/92Fewrorr cs.nda.gov/62Finternet/62FFSE_DOCUM ENTSX2Fstelprdb1045656.pdf8ei=VUszU UXSIkyOT2DWS_opi**Ch8ince-4FC***Chai	and predict numbf and recision raises on rangelands. This model can also assist in assessing rangeland conservation practice effects. RHEM is a process-based erosion prediction tool specific for rangeland application, beaded on fundamentals of inflitation, hydrodogy, plant science, hydraulics and erosion mechanics. It is designed to use data that are routinely collected by rangeland managers and in national mentioning occurs such as the Natural collected by rangeland managers and in national mentioning occurs such as the Natural to the control of th	Watershed or Field	Event, Monthly, or Annual	Rangeland Management.	Wei H, Nearing M, Stone J, and Bredhears D. 2008. A Dual Monte Carlo approach to estimate uncertainty and its applications to the Rangeland Hydrology and Erosion Model. In Transactions of the Asabe. 51(2): 515-520.	
trosion Model			BLSOB/UFoirWosBkU- BLSO4YA8sig2-L/Tard6t44LBtttBS7h6Gg& bvm-bv.52164340,d.b21	collected by rangilland managers and in national monitoring programs such as the Natural Resources Consensation Service (RPCS) National Resource Inventory (RNI), Using REMS allows land managers to be proactive in preventing accelerated soil loss on rangelands by targeting areas for conservation management that are most vulnerable to soil erosion.				Wei h, Nearing M, and Stone J. 2007. A comprehensive sensitivity analysis framework for model evaluation and improvement using a case study of the Rangeland Hydrology and Erosion Model. In Transactions of the Asabe. 50(3):945-953	
MODFLOW M11 Modular Ground-Water Model	Arlen W. Harbaugh U.S. Geologic Survey	2005	http://pubs.usgs.gov/tm/2005/tm6A16/ PDF.htm	MODFLOW is a three dimensional finite-difference model used to calculate groundwater budget. MODFLOW functions on a user defined time increment (seconds to years) over a model gird. Model inputs include pressure heaks, oil medium type and stay the ficiness, aquifer hydraulic conductivity and transmissivity, and riverbed conductance.	Model Grid	Seconds to Years	The groundwater translation portion of all conservation practices	MODFLOW-2005, The U.S. Geologic Survey Modular Ground-Water Model - The Ground-Water Flow Process	
				MIKE SHE is a physically based hydrological and water quality modeling system that simulates surface and groundwater movment. MIKE SHE functions on a minute or day time step at a			Ponds, terraces, buffers, conservation reserve	DHI Software. 2007. MIKE SHE USER MANUAL VOLUME 2: REFERENCE GUIDE.	
M12 MIKE-SHE	DHI Water and Environment	2012	http://www.mikebydhi.com/Products/W aterResources/MIKESHE.aspx	watershed scale. Hydrologic processes include evapotranspiration, overland flow, channel flow, soil water and ground water movement. Model inputs include topography: precipitation, land uses, reference Fr, rivers and lakes, overland flow, unsaturated zone, groundwater table, and saturated zone characteristics. MIKE SHE is GIS compatible.	Watershed	Minutes or Days	programs, tillage practices, irrigation methods and management, and crop rotation, and grazing management.	Jason Van, Joyce Zhang, Evaluation of the MiKE SHE Modeling System. http://s1004.okstate.edu/S1004/Regional-Bulletins/Modeling-Bulletin/MiKESHEfinal.html	
SPUR M13	Wight (ed.), J.R.	1983		SPUR (Simulating Production and Utilization of Range Land) is a simulation and process model. Its purpose is to determine and analyze management scenarios as they affect rangeland sustainability and to forecast the effects of climate change on rangelands. ELM, BLUE			Rangeland Management	Wight (ed.), J.R. 1983.SPUR-simulation of production and utilization of rangelands: a rangeland model for management and research. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service , no. 1431.	
Simulation of Production and Utilization of Rangelands	- Amaria			GRAMA and ROOTS were studied extensively during the construction of this plant growth model.				Carlson, D.H. and T.L. Thurow. 1996. Comprehensive evaluation of the improved SPUR model (SPUR- 91). Ecological Modelling. 85(2-3):229-240.	
				The SPAW (Soil-Plans-Air-Water) computer model simulates the daily hydrology of agricultural				Saxton, K.E. 1989. Models for predicting water and energy relationships in soils under limited rainfall conditions. Proc. Inter. Symp. on Managing Sandy Soils, Jodhpur, India, Feb. 6-10, 1989. Saxton, K.E. and G.C. Blahm. 1982. Regional prediction of crop water stress by soil water budgets and	
								climatic demand. Trans. of Am. Soc. Agric. Engr. 25(1):105-110. Saxton, K.E. and P.H. Willey. 1999. Agricultural Wetland and Pond Hydrologic Calculations Using the	
M14 SPAW	Saxton, K. E. and P. H. Willey	2006		falds and pools including welfalls, lippons and reservoirs. Fall hydrology is represented by any climatic description of realists, improvision and reservoirs. Fall hydrology is represented by and in regions. I see the property of the property of the property of the property of the and irrigation. Pond, lappon, and westend simulations which have agricultural wetersher falled or producer prevaitors as their water compropried daily involution levels as controlled by multiple input and depletion processes. Data input and file selection are by graphical coreses, Simulation results as both hubbar and graphical. Typical papertain include an analysis of orange Simulation results are both hubbar and graphical. Typical papertain include an analysis of orange.			Ponds	SPAW-II. Model. Paper No. 992030). Proc., Amer. Soc. Agric. Eng. Meeting. Toronto, ON, July 18-21, 1999. Saxton, K.E. and P.H. Willey. 2004. Agricultural Wetland and Pond Hydrologic Analyses Using the SPAW model. Proc. Self-Sustaining Solutions for Streams, watersheds and Wetlands Conf., Amer. Soc. Agric.	
				Simulation results are both tabular and graphical. Typical applications include analyses of crop water status, deep seepage, westland inundation duration and frequency, lagoon designs, and water supply reservoir reliability.				Fig. Sept. 12-15, An Advanced Sept. 12-16, Annual Sept. 12-16, Ann	
		1				1			

M15	WinSRFR	Bautista, E., A.I. Chemmens, T.S. Strelkoff, J. Schhagel.	2009		who CRT is supported and supportable on Busype 97th (2010), and Bud's recipional developing by the former of LL Vision contravation in Lin. Bud position provides a Workshore interface is those programs and well also serve as the flowed-dated for future development. World PEA is located to help understand the proposition of the support of the proposition	brigation Methods and Management	Bandrish, E. A.J. Climmens, T. Streholf, I. Schlegel. 2029. Modern enalyze of surface brigation systems with WindSPR Agricultural Water Messagement 96 (2009) 1146-1254. Standburff, Z. Commens, A.J. Schmidt, D. V., 1998, 1999, Version 3.31—A model for simulating surface angine in bindrass. Assists and forements of Segmenter of Agricultura Agricultural Research Service, U.S. Water Conservation Liberatory, Phoesis, AZ.	
M16	FIRI 1.2 Farm Inrigation Rating Index	John Dalton USDA-NRCS	2005	http://www.wcc.nrcs.usda.gov/ftpref/w etsc/trrigation/Fills/FirMan.pdf	FBI 1.2 is a procedure to approximate or quantify approximate water conservation through changes made to a registion systems or through management. The program provides a standardized means of documenting change for various cost thing registers and planning efforts. The model has potential application as a bool for field and water-their ducal quantification of implicit changes and the impact to water quality.	Irrigation Methods and Management		
M17	DPEVAP	Thompson, A. L., D. L. Martin, J. M. Norman, J. A. Tolk, T. A. Howell, J. R. Gilley, and A. D. Schneider.	1997		SoftWall but an exposedition model to water losses during genified in registron of a plant cancey under field condition. The model considers exposing genering water deperd exposition and discipline ballistics with a plate environment energy model. The plants environment model recluded insight between water exchange above the energy and the energy condition with software water extra exposition of the entire of the energy and the energy condition with recluding insight and an extra exposition of the energy and the energy condition of evaluating expression significant integration systems with respect to water efficiencies during engagine of a roug.	Irrigation Methods and Management	Thompson, A. L., D. L. Martin, J. M. Horman, J. A. Tolt, T. A. Howell, J. R. Gilley, and A. D. Schneider. 1997. Testing of a waster bos distribution model for moving uponiter systems. Trans. ASSE 40(1): 81-88. Martin, D. L., W. K. Exp., A. C. Thompson, and N. Liego, 2012. Selecting sprinker packages for center priorit. Transactions of the ASSES 50(2): 513-521.	
M18	АдижГеор	Rank D, Sheddrid P, Hisley, T.C., Foresee, E. and Hinteg. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations	2009		Extracting attainable yellar under waster desting conditions remises central in arti, some and removes the source model, Aquicroge, which shouldess attendate yellar of the magnitude removes the source model, Aquicroge, which shouldess attendate yellar of the magnitude and the source of the source of the source of the source of the format can waster model, Aquicroge, which shouldess are destinated format can waster content a simulated by beying the kild incoming and origining under flower. But can want or content a simulated by beying the kild incoming and origining under flower and the bloodedure, contention of the source of the source of the source of the source of the source of the source of the source of the source of the source of the source of the source of the source of the source of the source of the source of the source of the source of source of	baller, conservation reserve programs, stage produced, programs mended and management, crop stations, and graving management conservation practices.	Name 1, - Stedard, N Halos, T. C., Forenest, E. and Reng L. 2008. Aquacings Calculation Procedure, Principles in Name 2, N	
M19	DSSAT Decision Support System for Agrotechnology Transfer	Jones, J.W., G. Hoogenboom, C.H. Porter, K.J. Boote, W. D. Batchelor, L.A. Hunt, P.W. Wilkens, U. Singh, A.J. Gijernan and J.T. Nitchie	2003		Doction Sympot Fysion for Appellorousgy Final Print SSS TILL a shelver application graphs for the group of the print SSS TILL and the separation of the graphs of the group scaled on the Control of the SSS TILL and	Irrigation Methods and Management	Jones, I.W. G. Hospenboom, C.H. Furter, K.I. Boole, W.D. Batcheler, L.A. Hurt, F.W. Wilkers, U. Siegh, A.I. Gjirman and J.T. Ritchia. 2009. The OSSAT cropping system model. Europ. J. Agronomy 28:225-265.	

APTICLE TITLE CAPE Renchmank CAPE RENCHMAN CAPE RENCHMAN CAPE RENCHMAN CAPE RENCHMAN CAP	Sepablican Vears Sepablican Vears Vears (A Event Basis April June for 2 year	Mootly talks about using SMET but if we could get the more off data the moude be ever height. Dees talk about individual titles (2 in low are of corest). The door sites have befired set sites are less that exhibits the database that can be about the sites are less that exhibits database the probably don't want to reduce nared to stream; impacts to groundwater exhaps, surface runoff, and If were estimated and plotted for HIVC-12 subbasins by terrace, receivers, and doth thereony. As and reservoirs. These estimates could be applied to similar subbasins in the Platte filter watershed. 79. 6% to deep perceivation and 13.0% to IT. Conservation bench terraces in Colby, K5 yielded 79. 6% to deep perceivation and 13.0% to IT. Shows potential for using HEC-HMS model for future work.
Impacts of Non-Federal Regulation River Compact Settlement Recovers and Load Terrace with the Regulation River Compact Settlement Recovers and Load Terrace with the Regulation River Compact Settlement Conservation Committee for The Regulation River Compact Settlement Conservation Committee for The Regulation River Compact Settlement (MEPP) model was used to analyze ferrace electromagnetic and the Rose 2 and	Republican Years (A Event Basis April June for 2 year	If were estimated and pictoris for HIVC-12 substances and representations by terraces, received, and other terraces and resources and received and r
Terraces (In the Repolition River List Case I Agriculture Description River List Case I Agriculture Description River List Case I Agriculture Description River List Case I Repolition River L	vears /A Event Basis April-June for 2 yea	79.0 Kis deep perceitation and 15.0 Kis LT. Broodbase terracises in forces, RS yielded 45.5 Kis because the results of the res
Accounting does Conversation Terrare Systems	arshed April-June for 2 yea	work. Study provides measure of overland nunoff raduction on a small waterhed basis by conservation buffers. Table 2 on page 59 (12 in pdf) is very helpful. It says that in a region with 500 mm of annual presp. It que
Usbachhold Schar Buffers & Terraces Buffers & Terraces Buffers & Terraces Buffers & Terraces Agrochemical Delivery to Stream Do Invasive Ripartan Vegetation Do Invasive Ripartan Vegetation Lipian Conversable Do Invasive Ripartan Vegetation Do Invasive Ripartan Vegetation S. Pegg, & S. Josain S.		on a small watershed basis by conservation buffers. Table 2 on page 59 (12 in pdf) is very helpful. It says that in a region with 600 mm of annual precip, if you remove the trees along a river in a watershed, then you should sain around 200 mm of water vield. Or.
Do Invasive Riparian Woody Plants Affect 1 Microsive Riparian Woody Plants Affect 2 Microsive Riparian Woody Plants Affect 3 Microsive Riparian Woody Plants Affect 4 Microsive Riparian Woody Plants Aff	and by tree Monthly/ Annual	that in a region with 600 mm of annual precip, if you remove the trees along a river in a watershed, then you should eain around 200 mm of water yield. Dr.
Suitzborrur hadnor (E. ver oldt zelotrantistlu		1
Soll Loss Narrow Grass Hedge LT Narrow Grass Hedge Effects on hourd gad. J. Giller, B. Eghball, L. Kramer, B. T. Moorman Jan-00 Moorman Jan-00 Jan-00		Narrow Grass Hedges are an effective conservation measure, especially when used in conjunction with no till or reduced-till farming systems. This study quantifies those effects at field plot level.
Modeling and Reid Experimentation to Experimentation to Terraces & Small Earns Terraces & Small Earns Special Earn	n River Basin 2006-2009	Research question posed: "How are land terracing and small reservoir development affecting surface and ground water supplies?" Author/USB may have data results from study.
Republican River Basin Hydrology. Simulations to Many Service	n River Basin 2005-2010	Estimation of the effects of land terracing approach and overall estimate.
L10 Ponds and Terraces Effect of watershed structures on water Sophocleous Sophocleous ASAE Paper No. 99-2123. St. Joseph, Mt.: Sophocleous ASAE		
Stoppic zeal-fielding List Ganal Seepage on B. R.H. Hotchiss, C.B. Wingert and W.E. Stand Seepage on B. R.H. Hotchiss, C.B. Wingert and W.E. Stand Seepage on B. R.H. Hotchiss, C.B. Wingert and W.E. Stand Seepage on B. R.H. Hotchiss, C.B. Wingert and W.E. Stand Seepage on B. R.H. Hotchiss, C.B. Wingert and W.E. Stand Seepage on B. R.H. Hotchiss, C.B. Wingert and W.E. Stand Seepage on B. R.H. Hotchiss, C.B. Wingert and W.E. Stand Seepage on B. R.H. Hotchiss, C.B. Wingert and W.E. Stand Seepage on B.H. R.H. Hotchiss, C.B. Wingert and W.E. Stand Seepage on B.H.H. Hotchiss, C.B. Wingert and W.E. Stand Seepage on B.H. R.H. Hotchiss, C.B. Wingert and W.E	tion of canal	
Canal Sepage & Conversion to UsaterSMART. A Three USCOI - USSR Oct-12 Nater SMART Sepage & Conversion to Usater SMART. Includes National Sepage & Conversion to Usater SMART. In	onwide	
Canal Seepage Conditionation Exchange 2011 Demonstration Project with group of Nebrasia Intelligence Condition Seepage Policy Condition Seepage Po	e Basin 2011-2012	Canal seepage estimates in Platte Basin can be quantified.
L14 Conversion to buried pipeline CNPPID I ringation Division CNPPID Division CNPPID Division Division Division Division Division Division CNPPID Division CNP	fatte Basin 1975-present	Reduced transportation losses (seepage and evap) by 45 to 50%)
Upper Platte River Recharge and Flood	e Basin Sept-Dec 2011	Spreadsheet developed through study could be tool for calculating recharge by canals using canal loss data.
Nitrada Exteriori critari deciribe virtual inguisto Efficiency and Usefficiency and Usefficiency and Observable of Efficiency and Ob	ewide	Includes formulas to calculate water conveyance efficiency, water application efficiency, and other delivery efficiency calculations.
L17 Surge Irrigation Management Surge Irrigation C.D. Yonts Jul 08 http://www.janzpubs.uni.edu/live/g1868 Water delivery efficiency improvement due to //www.janzpubs.uni.edu/live/g1868.pdf surge irrigation		
Terrace dimension Changes and the Moultaington, D.C.: U.S. Dept. of Agricultum, Soil Conservation Service, Assiste by CNPPD about their progress on of terrace ridges schoenleber, L. H (See Sept. 1997). As a service of terrace ridges of terrace ridges (See Sept. 1997). As a service of terrace ridge of terrace ridges (See Sept. 1997). As a service of terrace ridge of terrace ridges (See Sept. 1997). As a service of terrace ridge of terrace ridges (See Sept. 1997). As a service of terrace ridge of terrace ridges (See Sept. 1997). As a service ridge of terrace ridg		Canal efficiency information

1		The Control	1		ı				
L19	Terraces	The Nebraska Terrace Progr am: technical documentation: a technical report / prepared by Ron J. Gaddis and Curtis Winters	Gaddis, Ron J. (Ronald Jay), 1934-; Winters, Curtis N. (Curtis Neal)		UNL Libraries - [S.i.: s.n., 197-7] CYT 5627.T4 N43 1970zx hdbk or http://www.worldcat.org/title/nebraska- terrace-program-technical- documentation-a-technical- report/ock/016655790				
L20	Terraces	Modeling Runoff and Sediment Yield from a Terraced Watershed Using WEPP	Mary Carla McCullough, University of Nebraska - Lincoln Dean E. Eisenhauer, University of Nebraska - Lincoln Mike Dosskey, USDA National Agroforestry Center	2008	http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/cgil/viewc ontent.cgi?article=1020&context=usdafsf acpub	The Watershed Erosion Prediction Project (WEPP) was used to estimate 50-year runoff and sediment yields for a 291 ha watershed in eastern Nebraska that is 90% terraced and which has no historical gage data. Modeled results were comparable to published data.	Eastern Nebraska		Demonstrates ability to model terraces with a process- based continous simulation model.
L21	Terraces	Analytical Modeling of Irrigation and Land Use Effects on Streamflow in Semi-Arid Conditions: Frenchman Creek, Nebraska	J. Traylor	2012	http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/geoscidis s/32/	Streamflow reductions in Frenchman Creek in Republican River basin caused by irrigation, conservation terrace construction and other practices were analyzed by author using analytical model.	Republican River Basin		
L22	Terraces	USDA - Water Erosion Prediction Project (WEPP) Hillslope Profile and Watershed Model Documentation	D.C. Flanagan and M.A. Nearing (ed.)		http://www.ars.usda.gov/Research/docs htm?docid=18073	Model Documentation for WEPP erosion model. Hydrologic component is based on the Green- Ampt infiltration and kinematic wave equations.	N/A	N/A	N/A
L23	Terraces	Conservation Practive Physical Effects Worksheet	Nebraska NRCS		http://www.ne.nrcs.usda.gov/technical/NE ConservationPracStandards.html	Separate worksheet for each conservation practice. Evaluates physical effects on water quality.			
L24	Ponds	National Hydrography Dataset	USGS		http://nhd.usgs.gov/data.html	GIS vector dataset containing features including lakes, ponds, streams, rivers, canals, dams and stream gages. Age of data varies by location.	Nationwide Coverage - Shapefile	N/A	
L25	Ponds	Potential for groundwater recharge with seepage from flood retarding reservoirs in south central Nebraska.	Elsenhauer, D. E., D. M. Manbeck, and T. H. Storck	1982	Journal of Soil and Water Conservation. 37(1): 57-60	Groundwater recharge potential with seepage from flood reservoirs			
L26	Terraces	Effectiveness of terraces/grassed waterway systems for soil and wtaer conservation: a field evaluation.	Chaw, T.L.	1999	Journal of Soil and Water Conservation. (Third Quarter): \$77-\$93.	Soil and water conservation as result of grassed waterways and terraces			
L27	Surface Irrigation Systems	Guidelines for designing and evaluating surface irrigation systems	Walker, W.R.	1989	http://www.fao.org/docrep/t0231e/t02 31e00.htm#Contents	Many equations and techniques for evaluating surface irrigation systems			
L28	Buffers	Two-Dimensional Overland Flow and Sediment Transport in Vezetative Filter	Helmers, M.J.	2003	Unpublished PhD Dissertation	?			
L29	Buffers	A design aid for sizing filter strips using buffer area ratio	M.G. Dosskey, M.J. Helmers and D.E. Eisenhauer	2011	http://nac.unl.edu/research/publications htm	Used VFSMOD to estimate water % trapping efficiency by filter strips. Provides results for various soils, C factors based on Buffer to Watershed Area Ratios	Field and Watershed	Event	Provides nomographs for determining water trapping efficiency based on buffer to watershed area ratio.
L30	Buffers	Evapotranspication of Cropland and Grass or Forest Buffers in Riparian Zones in Nebraska	Doroty I. Pedersen	2008		Water Sinds are Autos. Thesis assessed the potential change in evapotrappiration resulting from the conversion of riparian zones from crept to native grass or forest buffers. Three climate regions (East, Central, West) were evaluated based on annual precipitation ranges. The FAO Se Persman-Montleth dual crop coefficient method was used to model ET. Omodel ET.	Regional	Annual	Provides charts of annual ET estimates for the East, Central and West regions for forest, grass, and cropland in riparian zones and estimates of potential change in ET for conversion of cropland to buffer.
L31	Buffers	Filter Strip Performance and Processes for Different Vegetation, Widths and Contaminants	T.J. Schmilt, M.G. Dosskey, and K.D. Hoagland	1999	httm://www.google.com/url?assificitis Regident-redictoreconvertidation?security 2007/ppda/urbinassidation?security 2007/ppda/urbinassidation?security (0) 5005/27/Managina/\$252005 Net/OFF/06/2 1032-200/urbina/\$252005 Net/OFF/06/2 1032-200/urbina/\$252005 Net/OFF/06/2 1032-200/urbina/\$252005 Net/OFF/06/2 1032-200/urbina/\$252005 Net/OFF/06/2 1520-11999-06/4525005 Net/OFF/06/2 1520-11999-06/46/1990-06/46/2 1520-11990-06/46/1990-06/46/2 1520-11990-06/46/1990-06/	Buffer test plots near Mead, NE were used to determine water trapping efficiency of runoff for grass, grass-shrub-tree, and contour sorghum vegetation.	Regional	Event Based	Provides water trapping efficiencies for test plots that could be scaled and applied on a field or watershed basis.
L32	Terraces	Estimating groundwater recharge from conservation bench terraces	Neibling, W.H. and J.K. Koelliker	1977	http://irrex.kc state.edu/dspace/handle/2097/11410	Research was conducted in Garden City, Kansas on bench terraces with 2:1 and 4:1 watershed:bench area ratios. Computed annual groundwater recharge for each scenario for the time period of 1945-1974.	Republican River Basin	Annual	Provides estimates of impacts to groundwater recharge, surface runoff, ET, and change in soil moisture for watersheds with and without conservation bench terraces under a wheat fallow rotation (Table 7). For instance, a bench terrace with 4:1 and 2:1 watershed:bench area ratio increases groundwater recharge by 4.78cm/yr and 2.26cm/yr, respectively.
L33	Irrigation Management	Irrigation Management Practices in Nebraska	R. Supalla, W. Miller, & B. Juliano	Sep-96	http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/ageconfa_ cpub/67/	This article surveyed 898 Irrigators (SW and GW) and says that as of 1996, only 15% reported using Surve Valves while 89% of gravity irrigators valred flow rates between irrigations, 75% varied flow rates between hard and soft rows, 80% used every other row irrigation, and 51% used less than 12 hr sets.	Nebraska-wide	***********	This article helps to determine a rough estimate of how many irrigators statewide were using management practices in 1996.
L34	Cropland Conservation	Environmental Benefits of Conservation on Cropland: The Status of Our Knowledge	M. Schnepf & C. Cox	2006	http://www.swcs.org/en/publications/e nvironmental benefits of conservation on cropland/	This book contains D. Eisenhauer's Chapter 3 (See NS3)	International		
L35	Irrigation Scheduling, Crop Residue, Water App. Methods	Chapter 3: Water Management Practices, Irrigated Cropland	D. Eisenhauer	2006	http://www.swcs.org/en/publications/e ouronmental_benefits_of_conservation_ on_cropland/	Irrigation Scheduling can reduce water applications by 12% (Ferguson et al. 1990). Duke et al. 1978 showed a 5 to 20% reduction. Crop residue can reduce net depletion of groundwater by 50 to 75mm a year (Boldt et al. 1999). Types of irrigation application also affect efficiency.	*************	***************************************	Irrigation Scheduling can reduce water applications by up to 20%. Crop residue can reduce net depletion of groundwater. Types of Irrigation application also affect efficiency.
L36	Soil cover, Tillage	Agronomy Society Monograph No. 23 "Dryland Agriculture"	G. Peterson, P. Unger, & W. Payne			This is a 900 page book. This summary is for Chapter 3 pages 39-79. This chapter talks about soil cover, tillage, and other things that might not pertain to runoff. Cover slows runoff and increases water storage in soil. Tillage methods that retain crop residue on surface are benifittal for increasing water capture.			Soil Cover and tillage methods that leave surface residue slow runoff and thus increase water storage in the soil. (There could be other things to gain in this monograph, I just looked at Chapter 3 for now.)
L37	Tillage	Hydraulic Conductivity, Infiltration, and Runoff from No-till and Tilled Cropland	J. Deck (D. Elsenhauer was advisor)		http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/cgi/viewc ontent.cgi?article=1013&context-biosyx engdiss	More runoff on tilled fields than no-till. (pg 39) In center pivot fields, one had 14.9% irrigation runoff for tilled and 1.7 for no-full. Another had 52% for tilled and 38% no-till. No-till showed greater residue, depressional storage, and higher agreates tatability which pointed to higher amounts of water infiltration.	Fields in NE	2008-2010	Significantly more runoff on tilled fields than no-till sometimes.

		Mapping			http://watercenter.unl.edu/archives/201	Mean annual ET was mapped across Nebraska	Statewide	2000-2009	
L38	Mapping ET	Evapotranspiration Regional Estimation of	Jozsef Szilagyi/UNL	2010	0MappingET.asp	using a calibration-free ET mapping technique (CREMAP) Use of GIS land cover, elevation of land and	Statewide	2000-2009	
L39	Estimation of Recharge	Total Recharge to Ground Water in Nebraska	J. Szilagyi, F.E. Harvey and J.F. Ayers	2005	http://info.ngwa.org/gwol/pdf/pdf/0503 81131.pdf	groundwater levels, base recharge, and recharge potential. Possible verlay with conservation practices.	Statewide		Includes statewide map of recharge potential and recharge rates
L40	ET Mapping for CPNRD	Evapotranspiration Mapping for the Central Platte NRD, Nebraska	A. Kilic and I. Ratcliffe	2012	http://watercenter.unl.edu/Symposium2 012/PresentationsOne/Kilic.pdf	Presentation that addresses need for better water depletion information to improve GW management, water balance and models and conjunctive management of SW and GW	Central Platte Basin	1997-2011	Applies METRIC energy balance model with Landsat imagery to develop monthly ET maps at field scale
L41	Irrigation Management Practices	Irrigation Management Practices in Nebraska	UNL		http://water.uni.edu/web/cropswater/m anagement	Discusses irrigation management factors that indicates that irrigators should be scheduling their irrigation applications to make maximum use of precipitation and reduce excess use of irrigation water.	Nebraska-wide		
L42	Effective water use	Effective Use of Irrigation Water	M. Jensen	Jun-98	http://www.cast- science.org/publications/?effective_use_ of_water_in_irrigated_agriculture&show_ =product&productID=2846	Report provides a comprehensive description of irrigation in the U.S. and basic principles of irrigation management.	nationwide		
L43		Natural Resource Commission	M. Quinn						Original document not located
L44	Water Use Efficiency	CALFED Water Use Efficiency Program			http://www.calwater.ca.gov/calfed/libra ry/Archive WUE.html				
L45	USDA FSA CRP Summary of Practices by Acre	USDA FSA CRP Summary of Practices Acreages for Prior Year Contracts Beginning in Program Year 1986	USDA	2006	https://arcticocean.sc.egov.usda.gov/CR PReport/yearly report.do?method=displ ayReport&report=1997-r1mepira-31	Table that lists conservation practices and acreages by type and by county in Nebraska	Statewide	1986-present	Quantifies acreages of conservation practices by county
L46	USDA FSA Conservation Program Statistics	CRP Contract Summary and Statistics Corn Irrigation Water	USDA	2012	http://www.fsa.usda.gov/FSA/webapp?a rea=home&subject=copr&topic=rns-css	Conservation program statistics by state	State level		Lists acreages of conservation practices at state level
L47	Corn Irrigation Water Management Using ET and Soil Moisture Sensors	Management Using ET and Soil Moisture Sensors	Texas A&M	2011	http://itc.tamu.edu/documents/demonst rations/Colorado%20County%20Corn%2 0Report%202011.pdf	Results from two on-farm demonstrations			
L48	Soil Moisture Sensor Project in LRNRD	Soil Moisture Sensor Project in LRNRD	Kearney Hub (placeholder)	2011	http://www.kearneyhub.com/news/local /article 16d00b54-d084-11e0-b323- 001cc4c03286.html	Article serves as placeholder in literature review for study results	Republican River Basin	2011	Successful use of soil moisture sensors for water conservation
L49	Crop Rotation	USDA-NASS CropScape - Cropland Data Layer 1997 - Current.	USDA - National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS).	1997-current	http://nassgeodata.gmu.edu/CropSca pe/	Cropscape data provides raster coverage by crop type including dual crop systems on an annual basis from 1997-current.	Nationwide Coverage - Raster. Pixels are 30 or 56 meters.	1997-current	Raster coverage by crop type
L50	Crop Intensity	USDA-NASS Census of Agriculture. Years 2007, 2002, 1997, 1992	USDA - National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS).		http://www.agcensus.usda.gov/index.	Census data by crop and county. Harvested Acres, Irrigated Acres, Harvested Yield, Irrigated Yield	County	Every Syrs including 2007, 2002, 1997, 1992	
L51	Crop Intensity	Dryland Cropping Intensification: a fundamental solution to efficient use of precipitation	Farahani, H.J., G.A. Peterson, and D.G. Westfall	1998	Adv. Agron. 64: 197-223.	Article discusses a fundamental solution to efficient use of precipitation			
L52	Tillage Reduction	Agricultural Irrigation Management: Reduce the Need for Irrigation: Maintain Crop Residue, Reduce Tillage	UNL Water: Agricultural Irrigation	1986-87	://water.unl.edu/web/cropswater/reduce	Research at Garden City, KS showed that up to 30% of ET can be evaporation during irrigation season for corn and soybean on sit loam soils. Study suggests that 2.5-3.0 inch water savings is possible when wheat straw or no till corn story is present from early June to end of growing	Kansas and Nebraska	Numerous years over course of the study	One component of study estimates 5-12 inches of water are available over the entire season for continuous no-till compared to tilled, depending on rainfall events and frequency. More rainfall or the more a crop is irrigated then the more greater the water saving.
L53	Tillage	Soil infiltration and hydraulic conductivity under long-term no- tillage and conventional tillage systems	Azooz, R.H. and Arshad, M.A.	1995	http://pubs.aic.ca/doi/pdf/10.4141/ciss 96-021	Long-term no-till practices kept soil pore structure and continuity undisturbed, which contributed to significantly greater hydraulic conductivity and infiltration rates in no-till than in conventional till.	fields in Canada	2 growing seasons	Long term no-till had more infiltration (less runoff) than conventional till fields
L54	Tillage	Nebraska crop production & pest management information	Jasa, P.	2006	http://cropwatch.unl.edu/web/cropwatc h/archive?articleid=1545591	Long-term no-till practices resulted in higher soil permeability and a greater rainfall rate needed to create runoff.	Nebraska		Long term no-till had more infiltration (less runoff) than conventional till fields
L55	Rangeland Management	Infiltration Rates: Three soils with three grazing levels in Northeastern Colorado	Rauzi, F. & Smith, F.	1973	https://journals.uair.arizona.edu/index.p hp/jrm/article/viewFile/6165/5775	Infiltration rates on light and moderately grazed lands were higher than for heavily grazed pastures (less plant material).	Northeast Colorado		
L56	Rangeland Management	Hydrologic Impact of Grazing on Infiltration: A critical Review	Gifford, G.F. & Hawkins, R.H.	1978	http://www.mojavedata.gov/deserttortoi se.gov/documents/copyright questions/ STDY Hydrologic Impact Of Grazing O n Inflitration A Critical Review Gifford G 0478.pdf	Some infiltration data exists for various range conditions and soil groups and is included in this summary paper.			
L57	Rangeland Management	Soil Bulk Density and Water infiltration as affected by grazing	Abdel-Magid, A.H., Schuman, G.E. & Hart, R.H.	1987	Journal of Range Management 40(4), July 1987	Infiltration was significantly lower under the heavy stocking rate than under the moderate at the end of the grazing season.	Cheyenne, WY		
L58	Rangeland Management	National Resources Inventory (with GIS)		2010	http://www.ncgc.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/por tal/nrcs/detail/national/technical/nra/nr i/?cid=stelordb1041620	The National Resources Inventory website has GIS data about Rangeland health, locations, plant species, soil, etc.	Nationwide		Could use this data to locate rangeland and rangeland health with could be correlated to infiltration rates.
L59	CRP	A web-based GIS Decision Support System for managing and planning USDA's Conservation Reserve Program (CRP)	Rao. M et al.	2006	http://www.hidro.ufcg.edu.br/twiki/pub /Disciplinas/GeotecnologiaAplicada/Heb gr.pdf	This "program/model" could be useful in determining the CRP based conservation measures impacts. In this paper, the CRP-DSS is a prototype.			
L60	CRP	Many papers in this reference but one is "Conservation Reserve Program: Effects on Soil, Water and Environmental Quality"	Many papers in this reference but for "Conservation Reserve Program: Effects on Soil, Water and Environmental Quality", Blackburn, W.H.; Newman, J.B.; & Wood, J.C.		http://www.nativefishlab.net/library/tex tpdf/19864.pdf#page=31	Specifically in the "Conservation Reserve Program: Effects on Soil, Water and Environmental Quality" page, they showed that Annual runoff and deep perc decreased and ET increased for most study sites when going from crop to CRP.	Many Western States		
L61	CRP	A Soil Quality Framework for Evaluating the impact of CRP	Karlen, D.L.; Gardner, J.C.; & Rosek, M.J.	1998	http://ws.udowntownseattle.ws.u.edu/ec on development/articles/ASoilQualityFra meworkforEvaluatingtheImpactofCRP_Ka rlen.pdf	CRP generally increased long-term infiltration. Also, using no-till practices to return CRP land to crop production preserved soil quality benefits while tillage destroyed them almost immediately.	Southern Iowa		
L62	Surge irrigation	Report to the United States Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation Cooperative Agreement, for Surge Irrigation Research and Development Program, Grand Valley Unit	CSU Cooperative Extension?	1993?	http://www.prsurge.com/works/reclam.	Field studies of surge use on different fields in Front Range of Colorado. Estimates of deep percolation reductions in %	Grand Valley of CO	Primarily 1993, but some 1990-1993.	Could be used to develop simplified estimates of reductions in recharge, based on the percentages developed in the studies. Limited years variable, and only conducted in Front Range area.
L63	Variable Rate Irrigation (VRI)	Key Performance Indicators for Variable Rate Irrigation Implementation on Variable Soils	ASABE Meeting Presentation, Carolyn Hedley, Ian Yule, Mike Tuohy, Iris Vogeler	2009	http://elibrary.asabe.org/abstract.asp?al d=27439&t=2&redir=&redirType=	Soil water balance used on three sites to determine performance indicators for variable rate irrigation, including drainage water loss.	New Zealand	Primarily 2007-2008, but some 2004-2009.	"Drainage water" appears to include all water above soil capacity, and would include both recharge (deep perc) and overland runoff.
L64	Variable Rate Irrigation (VRI)	Agricultural Management Options for Climate Variability and Change: Variable- Rate Irrigation	Calvin Perry, Clyde Fraisse, and Daniel Dourte (University of Florida)	2012	http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/pdffiles/AE/AE49 000.pdf	General info on the practice, including a few references.	Global	No specific time period	No quantifiable techniques mentioned - just a reference document.



L66 L67 Ra L68 Ecc L69 Stream	riable Rate Irrigation (VRI) Crop Rotations Load Effects on Hydrology cological Effects of Roads million Alteration from Roads India Pulse Tiber Maye Test Road Maye Terraces Soil and Water Irrigation Scheduling	Variable Rei in registro. Comp floations with full and and timed registro. Comp floations with full and timeder ingress Among ment of the floation of the floation and Orphand Management Effect of Roads on Hydraling, Hydraling, Hydraling, Hydraling, Hydraling, Hydraling, Hydraling, Hydraling Aberation of Sorounifloation Aberation of Sorounifloation Tollowing band Contraction in Bange files for call Contraction in Sorounifloation Contraction Cont	Cabin D. Perry and Andrea W. Million (University of Georgia) 1.p. Schneeskich, N.L. Klocke, G. W. Hergert, D.L. Martin, R.T. Clark 1.A. Jones, F.J. Swarson, R.C. Wemple and K. U. Snyder R.T.T. Forman and L.E. Alexander 1.G. Ring and L.C. Tennyson U.S. Coness Bureau Hebernack Counters and Netroyaka Department of Robols Amenican Society of Agricultural and Binglos Teighborn Amenican Society of Agricultural and Binglos Teighborn	2007 1991 Sep-99 1998 Jul-10 2006-current, 2000-1992	http://www.cress.se/sept/sept.	General description of practice, focused on Southeast US Changes in ET - vield resistantiships through different crop rotations, including enousing money for the productions, including enousing form of the production of the production of the continuous com to wheat cross-sophean resistant continuous com to wheat cross-sophean resistant continuous com to wheat continuous Article colleges when the own read reterorist and reparties and reparties to the processes in streams and reparties systems. Read construction effect on percent of exceedince flows in watershed	Southeast U.S. West-Central Nebraska Oregon forests	No specific time period Mainly 1986-1989	No quantifiable techniques mentioned - just a reference document.
L67 R0 L68 Ecc L69 Stream L70 TK L71 L72 L73	toad Effects on Hydrology coological Effects of Roads coological Effects of Roads imflow Alteration from Roads TOETR/Live Shaperfiles and TIGER/Live Shaperfiles and TIGER/Live Shaperfiles Ferraces Soil and Water Buffers	and Limited Irrigation and Division Parkets in Commorphology, and Disturbance Parkets in Commorphology, and Disturbance Parkets in Concepts and Their Major Ecological Effects and Their Ecological Ecologica Ecological Ecological Ecological Ecological Ecological Eco	Hergert, D.L. Martin, R.T. Clark J.A. Jones, F.J. Swemon, R.C. Wemple and K. U. Snyder R.T.T. Forman and L.E. Alexander R.T.T. Forman and L.E. Alexander U.S. Censos Bureau Hebrands Counter and Netropach Experiment of Resols American Society of Agricultural and Binglost Replaces American Society of Agricultural and Binglost Replaces	Sep-99 1998 Jul-10 2006-current, 2000, 1992	http://microst.inki.com/ http://microst.inki.com/ http://microst.inki.com/ pre43x/jedf.lists.inki.com/ pre43x/jedf.lists.inki.com/ http://microst.inki.com/ http://micro	different crop rotations, including moving from continuous corn wheat corn syphesis rotation. Article audities view of how read networks interact with stream networks at landscape scale and effects on biological and ecological processes in streams and riparian systems. Road construction effect on percent of		Mainly 1986-1989	
L68 Ecol	cological Effects of Roads Imflow Alteration from Roads Imflow Alteration from Roads IGER/Line Shaperfiles and IGER/Line Shaperfiles and IGER/Line Shaperfiles Historic Road Maps Terraces Soil and Water Buffers	Hydrology, Geomorphology, and Disturbance Patches in Seram Networks. Roads and Their Major Ecological Effects Ecological Effects Alteration of Streamflow Characteristics Following Road Construction in North Construction in North Construction in North Construction and Historic Construction and management of terrace systems. Soil and water terminology	and K. U. Snyder R.T.T. Forman and L.E. Almander R.T.T. Forman and L.E. Almander 1.G. King and L.C. Tennyson U.S. Comus Bureau Nebranka Counter and Nebranka Department of Robols American Society of Agricultural and Biological Replaces American Society of Agricultural and American Society of Agricultural and	1998 Jul-10 2006-current, 2000. 1992	me435/pdf files/pones etal 2000.pdf http://paccownia.org.el/plik/mads and _hair major_acclopical_effects.pdf. http://paccownia.org.el/plik/mads and _hair major_acclopical_effects.pdf. http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.10 29/NR020008001159/abrur 476emicd Access Customics dives sage-&ucerhaush emicated-false _http://www.census.gov/geo/majodata/data/hireer-line-html	interact with stream networks at landscape scale and effects on biological and ecological processes in streams and riparian systems Road construction effect on percent of	Oregon forests		
L69 Stream L70 TK L71 L72 L73	Inflow Alteration from Roads IGER/Line Shapefiles and TIGER/Line Files. Historic Road Maps Terraces Soil and Water Buffers	Roads and Their Major Ecological Effects Aheration of Streamflow Characteristics Following Road Construction in North Central Idaho Shape files for roads Current and Histori Design, Jayout, construction and management of terrace systems Soal and water terminology	J.G. King and L.C. Tennyson U.S. Census Bureau Nebraska Counties and Nebraska Separtment of Rodsis American Society of Agricultural and Biological Engineers American Society of Agricultural and	Jul-10 2006-current, 2000. 1992	_their_major_ecological_effects.pdf http://onlinelibrary.wifey.com/doi/10.10 29/WR020008p01159/abstract?denied AccessCustomisedMessage=&userisAuth enticated-dise http://www.census.gov/geo/maps- data/data/tisee-line.html				
L70 TIC	HGER/Line Shapefiles and TIGER/Line Files. Historic Road Maps Terraces Soil and Water Buffers	Streamflow Characteristics Following Road Construction in North Central Idaho Shape files for roads Current and Histroi Design, layout, construction and management of terrace systems Soil and water terminology	U.S. Census Bureau Nebraska Counties and Nebraska Department of Roads American Society of Agricultural and Bioligical Engineers American Society of Agricultural and	2006-current, 2000. 1992	29/WR020i008p01159/abstract?denied AccessCustomisedMessage=&userisAuth enticated=false http://www.census.gov/geo/maps- data/data/tieer-line.html				
L71 L72	TIGER/Line Files Historic Road Maps Terraces Soil and Water Buffers	Shape files for roads Current and Histrol Design, layout, construction and management of terrace systems Soil and water terminology	Nebraska Counties and Nebraska Department of Roads American Society of Agricultural and Biolgical Engineers American Society of Agricultural and	2000. 1992	data/data/tiger-line.html				
L72	Historic Road Maps Terraces Soil and Water Buffers	Design, layout, construction and management of terrace systems Soil and water terminology	Department of Roads American Society of Agricultural and Biolgical Engineers American Society of Agricultural and			Shapefiles of roads	Statewide	2006-current, 2000, 1992	
L73	Soil and Water Buffers	construction and management of terrace systems Soil and water terminology	American Society of Agricultural and Biolgical Engineers American Society of Agricultural and		Obtain by County or from NDOR	Roads maps available statewide at state and/or county level	state or county	1272	
	Buffers	Soil and water terminology		Jan-12	http://elibrary.asabe.org/abstract.asp?ai d=41193&t=2&redir=&redirType=	ASABE Standard S268.5			
H			Biolgical Engineers	Sep-07	http://elibrary.asabe.org/abstract.asp?ai d=24145&t=2&redir=&redirType=	ASABE Standard S526.3			
L/4	Irrigation Scheduling	LULINE VICTOR	U.S. Department of Agriculture	1997	G-2-1-9at-2ateun-ateun Type-	Program Aid 1615			
L75	ingator screaming	Using Modified Atmometers for Irrigation Management	Suat Irmak, Jose O. Payero, and Derrel L. Martin	Oct-05	http://www.ianrpubs.unl.edu/epublic/liv e/g1579/build/g1579.pdf	UNL NebGuide G1579			
L76	Deficit Irrigation	Effect of timing of a deficit-irrigation allocation on corn evapotranspiration, yield, water use efficiency and dry mass	J.O. Payero, D.D. Tarkalson, S. Irmak, D. Davison, J.L. Petersen	2009	http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/cgi/viewc ontent.cgi?article=1051&context=biosys engfacpub	Agricultural Water Management 96 (2009) 1387 - 1397	Study done in North Platte, NE	Measurements taken 2005-2006	
L77	Irrigation Scheduling	Irrigation Scheduling: Checkbook Method	Steven R. Melvin, C.Dean Yonts	2009	http://ianrpubs.unl.edu/live/ec709/build /ec709.pdf	UNL Extension Circular			
L78	Irrigation Scheduling	Irrigation Scheduling Using Crop Water Use Data	C. Dean Yonts, Norman L. Klocke	Jun-85	http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/cgi/viewc ontent.cgi?article=2195&context=extensi	UNL NebGuide G85-753			
L79	Deficit Irrigation	Yield Response of Corn to Deficit Irrigation in a Semiarid Climate	Jose O. Payero, Steven R. Melvin, Suat Irmak, David Tarkalson	2006	http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/cgi/viewc ontent.cgi?article=1050&context=blosys enefacoub	Agricultural Water Management 84:1-2 (july 16, 2006), pp. 101-112			
L80	Deficit Irrigation	Response of Soybean to Deficit Irrigation in the Semi-Arid Environment of West-Central Nebraska	J. O. Payero, S. R. Melvin, S. Irmak	2005	http://bse.unl.edu/c/document library/g et file?uuid=135188a2-de0c-4687-8a53- 9e89b5506008groupid=4614475&.pdf	Transactions of the ASAE, Vol. 48(6): 2189-2203			
L81	Crop Rotations	Evaluating decision rules for dryland rotation crop selection	David C. Nielsen	2011	http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/cgi/viewc ontent.cgi?article=1872&context=usdaar sfacpub	Field Crops Research 120(2011) 254-261			
L82	Buffers	Consumptive Use Calculator. Evapo- Transpiration Calculations for Cover Types in a Non-Stressed Enviorment	USDA-NRCS	2009	http://www.dnr.ne.gov/PRRIP/docs/PRRI P NE DepletionPlan.html	Documentation for a spreadsheet analysis of monthly ET estimates for crop and riparian vegetative covers. Allows for computation comparison across 8 regions along the Platte River Watershed on various soil types.	Regional	Monthly/ Annual	Calcuates monthly ET estimates for buffer and cropland covers.
L83	Small Dams	Modeling Small Reservoirs in the Great Plains to Estimate Overflow and Ground- Water Rehcarge	Ravikumar B. Choodegowda	2009		Developed models to estimate reservoir overflow, gross sepage, and groundwater recharge to evaluate the aggregate effect of small dams in the Republican River Basin. The models utilize POTYLDR for inflow and reservoir water balance inputs.	Republican River Basin	Monthly/ Annual	Researchers found that these reserveroirs reduce streamflow by 74 to 97%. 90 to 95% of retained streamflow contributed ground-water recharge. Model and or estimates could be applied to Platte River Basin.
L84 h	Irrigation Management	Field Scale Limited Irrigation Scenarios for Water Policy Strategies	N. L. Klocke, J. P. Schneekloth, S. R. Mehin, R. T. Clark, J. O. Payero	2004	http://panhandle.unl.edu/c/document li brary/get file?folderid=490416&name= DLFE-8309.pdf	Applied Engineering in Agriculture 20(5): 623- 631			
L85 Cr	Crop Production Intensity	Recommended Seeding Rates and Hybrid Selection for Rainfed (Dryland) Corn in Nebraska	Robert N. Klein, Drew J. Lyon	Jun-11	http://www.ianrpubs.unl.edu/live/g2058 /build/g2068.pdf	UNL NebGuide G2068			
L86 Cr	Crop Production Intensity	Skip-Row Planting Patterns Stabilize Corn Grain Yields in the Central Great Plains	Drew J. Lyon, et al	Feb-09	http://www.plantmanagementnetwork.o rg/pub/cm/research/2009/skip/	Plant Management Network publication			
L87 Cr	Crop Production Intensity	Skip-Row Planting and Irrigation of Graded Furrows	J. T. Musick, D. A. Dusek	1982	http://naldc.nal.usda.gov/download/512 /PDF	Transactions of the ASAE Vol. 25, No. 1, pp. 82- 87 & 92	_		
L88 Cr	Crop Production Intensity	Grain sorghum water use with skip-row configuration in the Central Great Plains of	Akwasi A. Abunyewa, Richard B. Ferguson, Charles S. Wortmann, Drew J. Lyon, Stephen C. Mason, Suat Irmak, and Robert N. Klein	0d-11	http://agronomy.unl.edu/c/document li brary/get file?p id=4128278&folderid =5159199&name=DLFE-68503.odf	African Journal of Agricultural Research Vol. 6(23), pp. 5328-5338, 19 October 2011			
L89 Cr	Crop Production Intensity	the USA The effect of row spacing and seeding rate on blomass production and plant stand characteristics of non-irrigated photoperiod-sensitive sozehum	John L. Snider, Randy L. Raper, and Eric B. Schwab	Jan-12	http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/cgi/viewc ontent.cgi/article=1881&context=usdaar riacpub	Publications from USDA-ARS/UNL Faculty. Paper 876, 2012			
L90 P	Phreatophytes/invasive Vegetation	sorehum A Field Assessment of a Method for Estimation of Ground-Water Consumption By Phreatophytes	J.J. Butler, G.J. Kluitenberg, D.O. Whittemore	2008	http://water.usgs.gov/wrri/07grants/pro gress/2003KS33B.pdf	KGS and KSU Study researched magnitude of phreatophyte impact to stream-aquifer systems in Kansas. Equation to calculate ET consumption of GW prior and post vegetation treatment.	Arkansas and Cimarron River basins in Kansas	Data collected 2003- 2008	
L91 P	Phreatophytes/Invasive Vegetation	Riparian Vegetation Impacts on Water Quantity, Quality, and Stream Ecology	D. Scott, E. Istanbulluoglu, J. Lenters, and Kyle Herman	2012	http://www.eas.unl.edu/~pmykleby/ripa rian/Documents/NETFinalReport.pdf	Goal of study was to develop quantitative understanding of the role of riparian vegetation dynamics, including invasive species, within Republican and Platte River basins.	Platte and Republican River basins	Reporting Period 2008-2012	
L92 :	Soil Moisture Sensors	Watermark Granular Matrix Sensor Soil Matric Potential for Irrigation Management	Suat Irmak, Jose O. Payero, Dean Eisenhauer, William Kranz, Derrel Martin, Gary Zoubek, Jennifer Ress, Brandy VantbeWalle, Andrew Christeiansen. Dan Leininzer	2006	http://lanrpubs.unl.edu/live/ec783/build /ec783.ndf	UNL Extension Circular EC 783			

